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Mission:

DAC Research Centre at the University of the Arts London aims to:

1. reduce the incidence and adverse consequences of crime through design of products, services, communications and environments that are ‘fit for the purpose’ and contextually appropriate in all other respects;

2. equip design practitioners with the cognitive and practical tools and resources to design out crime;

3. prove and promote the social and commercial benefits of designing out crime to manufacturing and service industries, as well at to local and national government, and society at large; and

4. to address environmental complicity with crime in the built environment and to reduce crime and improve individual and community well being.
1. The Problem of Bag Theft
Did you know? Every minute in the UK another person becomes a victim of bag theft.

[ Source: Home Office - British Crime Survey 2003/04. Table 2.01 in HO Stats Bulletin 10/04. Calculations worked out by DAC staff as incidents estimated 2003/04, comprising both snatch and stealth thefts yields one in every 0.85 minutes on average. ]
Design Against Crime aims to help design out bag theft and put anti-crime design into the public spotlight and to give it an engaging and appealing edge.
‘Things as well as people cause problems. DAC Builds on the theory of Situational Crime Prevention (SCP) which considers ‘opportunities’ (linked to objects / environments and services as well as users and abusers) to be the ‘root causes’ of crime.

*Design out criminal opportunities and you can design out crime.*

[ Based on Felson & Clarke ‘Opportunity Theory’, 1998, Rutgers University, New Jersey ]
The acronym C.R.A.V.E.D. has been used to describe the characteristics of items most likely to be stolen - ‘hot products’.

C oncealable
R emovable
A vailable
V aluable
E njoyable
D isposable
Design Against Crime
‘In the Bag’ Research CD ROM
Bag theft: Hot Products
Perpetrator Techniques:
Dip: Removal of articles from a bag without the owner’s awareness.
**Lift:** Removal of bag and contents without owner’s awareness.
**Slash:** Removal of articles from a bag, without the owner’s awareness by cutting the fabric.

**Grab:** Removal of bag and contents by grabbing it away from the owner’s grasp.
2. CSM DAC responses – theory & practice
Theory into practice: Personal Products. MA Industrial Design, CSM
Karrysafe - Anti theft bags and accessories. Commissioned and researched by DAC, designed and produced by Vexed Generation.
Karrysafe was funded by the Design Council / UAL. The results were a series of smartly designed crime-resistant bags.
Locking features allow the user to attach the bag to chairs, tables, posts and more.
Karrysafe started advice site: www.karrysafe.com

but DAC has also delivered...
Welcome. This is the first iteration of 'In the Bag'. Your comments are appreciated as several more editions will take place before it is finalized.

In the Bag is a Design Against Crime (DAC) research resource aimed at designers to help them get smart quick about pick-pocketing, bag theft and loss of personal property. It usually contains statistical and criminological data and overhears in other contextual information directly relevant to design. It also offers a thoroughly referenced research resource for those who want to address the subject at an academic level.

Designing out crime poses many challenges for the design profession. It is clear from escalating crime figures that designers need to be far more creative than criminals. The design dilemma is to design objects, environments, and services that address both users and abusers, without making them look criminal. The basis from understanding the criminological theory using our visual translations of it is to embody design practice is made easier than ever before.

In the Bag aims to inspire designers with the right information so they can make a difference. The resource includes new design work catalysed from research information and a range of takeaway DAC briefs aimed at challenging designers everywhere to 'have a go'.

www.inthebag.org.uk
Theory into practice: Products for public space. Stop-Thief! anti-theft chairs.
Customisation and user-testing of market leading public furniture.
Our practice-led research visualises its outputs. We try to show as well as tell what designing against crime can deliver.
2000 Design Museum Exhibition
2001 Don’t Tempt Me: Milan
2001 Don’t Tempt Me: Barcelona
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2002 Stop Thief: RIBA and Designers Block
2003 Victoria, London
2005-06 Safe Exhibition, MoMA, New York
3. Grippa 1 project 2004-2006
Grippa Research Project 1.
Grippa furniture, All Bar One (ABO), London, 2005
Grippa Clips (ABO)
Selected sites only, London, 2005
Grippa Communication (AB0)
London, 2005
Anti Bag Theft Evaluation
Phase One
The need for an evaluation
Evaluating the ‘anti-theft’ clips should allow us to answer questions such as...

- Do they work?  
  If so, how do they work?
- When do they work?
- Where do they work?
- Are design modifications necessary?
Evaluation design

- Triangulate methods
- Action versus Control design
- Use of police data
- Recording within bars when offences are reported to staff
- Observation of how chairs are used
- Customer feedback
Using police data we can...

1) Rank bars according to recorded crime figures

Top ten All Bar One bars for recorded theft and robbery offences

January 2000-June 2004
2) Analyse the distribution of offences
But we need to know more...

- Person Data
  - Who were you with?
  - How much have you had to drink?
  - Would you come back to the bar again?

- Stolen Item Data
  - Where was your property prior to being stolen?
  - When did you notice you had been the victim of crime?
  - Did you witness the offence?

- Geography Data
  - Where exactly were you in the bar?
  - How busy was the bar?
  - Were you sitting or standing up?
Sir/Madam

We are so sorry that you have had your possessions stolen. We understand how inconvenient this can be and All Bar One are working alongside the Jill Dando Institute of Crime Science, Central St Martins and the Metropolitan Police to maximise your safety and ensure that this does not happen to you or other patrons in the future. The short questionnaire you received in this pack is vital to this work and we would like to thank you in advance for filling it in and returning it to the manager.

Below is a short list of next steps that you may find useful. We hope that they help you to minimise the inconvenience and trauma of this evening.

1. Cancel your credit/debit cards
   On the reverse of this leaflet is a list of numbers to help you to cancel your cards as quickly as possible. Simply identify the telephone number of the banks and building societies relevant to you and then call and give your details so that they can then freeze your accounts. All Bar One can give you access to a telephone to do this.

2. Report the theft to police
   Reporting this crime to the local police is the best chance you have of getting your things back and reducing similar crimes in the future. You will also receive a crime number that you will require if you need to make an insurance claim.

3. Cancel your phone
   You can call the Immobilise mobile phone crime line on 08701 123 123. This is a number for all networks and will allow you have your stolen phone blocked quickly, even if the SIM card has been changed. This can be done for both contract and pre-paid phones.

4. Victim Support
   If you want to talk about what has happened this evening, you can call Victim Support on 0845 30 30 900 where trained volunteers are there to listen.
   If you need further help or information they can refer you on or point you in the right direction.

5. Home Security
   If you have lost your house keys in the theft, you need to consider that the security of your home especially if you address details was amongst the property stolen. Contact a neighbour or friend to keep an eye on things until you can make alternative arrangements to secure your home again.
Self-reported theft: When did the incident occur?
Self-reported theft: Time to theft
Self-reported theft: How busy was the bar?
Recorded crime rate per month for action bar, control bar and chain average.
Count of self-report theft figures before and after intervention period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BEFORE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFTER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Customer Survey: Explanations for what drew customers’ attention to the clips.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alert method</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Just saw them</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicity within the bar</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar staff pointed them out</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Customer Survey:** Reasons customers gave for not using the clips.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for not using the clips</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Didn’t see the clip</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No clip available</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strap too big for clip</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag too big for clip</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is space for bag next to them</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habit</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer to have bag where they can see it</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough clips</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag is too heavy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bag is too small</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>68</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluation Post-Mortem
We learnt a lot but…

- Lack of power of evaluation
  - Number of bars/measures
  - Time frame

- Hasty implementation

- Lack of generalisability
  - Where do the measures work?

- Lack of data on mechanism

- Conflict between customer awareness and venue image

- To little time to develop designs

- Lack of time for real dialogue between designers, criminologists and businesses
Further research

• The Arts & Humanities Research Council awarded £343k jointly to DAC and the JDI.
• 3-year research project focussing on the prevention of theft of customers’ bags in bars and cafes
  - using sophisticated table clips and associated publicity.
• Strategic objectives are to:
  - Provide hard proof of principle that DAC can reduce crime, based on worthwhile, rigorous but fair evaluation;
  - Build designers’ capacity to out-innovate criminals, by devising a procedure which
    a) generates designs that follow crime prevention principles and match the crime problem and context;
    b) draws together design and evaluation processes; and
    c) controls the many risks in evaluation to ensure the tests meet the desired standards.
Wetherspoons Evaluation Design

• Tracking theft in 27 pubs
  - 14 action bars- to receive measures during evaluation
  - 13 control bars- to receive measures in roll out post evaluation

• Necessary forms
  - Incident recording forms
  - Victim support forms
  - Security assessment form (once only!)
Question: What other anti bag theft furniture designs are already out there?

**Answer: None.**

Question: What other anti bag theft furniture accessories are already out there?

**Answer: Chelsea Clip and Secure Clip.**
Chelsea Clip and Secure Clip

1. Under table location means they are unseen and unused

2. Low aesthetic quality / compatibility
Chelsea Clip and Secure Clip

3. Poor material choice - they break easily
Question: What anti bag theft personal products are out there?

Answer:
Hangbag

Bagboy
E-Shape Hanger

ToteGuard
PurseHook
Starbucks: Speculative designs.
Starbucks: Grippa Clips
Starbucks: Serviettes

look after your bag
- use our bag tidy
Starbucks: Advice web site
Starbucks: Templates
5. Why Design Against Crime offers a sustainable design approach
A widely-used and accepted international definition of sustainable development is:

‘development which meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs’

Estimated average cost of crimes against individuals and households in 2003/04 by crime type and category.

Crime is a barrier to sustainable development as acknowledged by the UN and most domestic governments and impacts on public well being in the following ways:

i. Environmental
ii. Ecological
iii. Emotional
iv. Economic
i. Environmental impact

Actual crime, as well as fear of it, can operate to determine the aesthetics of, and our interactions with, the environments we live in.
i. Environmental impact

Vulnerability-led design responses, or too much emphasis on security can promote fear of crime (and each other) making people paranoid.
ii. Ecological impact

Crime trends often follow consumer trends. Crime is a voracious form of planned obsolescence - it has the potential to rival fashion.
iii. Emotional impact

Crime militates against well-being. Prof. Layard (LSE) argues if we don’t feel safe we are likely to feel unhappy despite economic prosperity.

iv. Economic impact

Money spent on policing crime and dealing with the consequences of crime and vandalism could be better spent on essential infrastructure (health, education, transport and culture).
6. DAC as socially responsive design
We target problems that stand as a barrier to the progress of social and ethical agendas. Our current focus is on bag theft (mobile property theft) that detracts from enjoyment of public spaces and public transport, and bike theft that detracts from cycle use.
We also draw upon concepts from Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED). CPTED is a multi-disciplinary approach that relies upon the ability to influence offender decisions BEFORE criminal acts occur.

CPTED strategies aim to increase the risk and effort required to commit offences and reduce the potential reward to the offender.
CPTED strategies: **Territoriality:** Defensible Space

Soft or hard, overt or covert, boundaries create symbolic and physical markers to help control territory and manage spaces.

CPTED strategies: **Surveillance:** Natural / Electronic

Offenders may be deterred if they feel they can be seen as it increases their risk to be caught. Natural surveillance occurs by designing the placement of physical features, activities and people in such a way as to maximise visibility and foster positive social interaction. Electronic surveillance is only as effective as those that monitor and respond.

CPTED strategies: **Activity Support**

Popular activities are placed into the heart of empty public spaces to claim the space for legitimate users. This increases natural surveillance and the risk of detection of criminal and undesirable activities. By putting the community back to public space, a sense of ownership and guardianship over the space will emerge.
CPTED strategies: **Access Control**

Control who goes in and out of spaces (physical access) to clearly define boundaries. Placing entrances and exits, fences, lighting, and landscape, to limit access, controls the flow of people and provides a level of security without an overt security presence.
CPTED strategies: **Image & Maintenance:** Broken Windows Syndrome

A poorly maintained and managed space informs abusers that risks associated with crime are low. Bad leads to worse. If legitimate users are deterred, a ‘tipping point’ may be reached where abusers dominate the space.
DAC’s approach to using CPTED concepts is never generic but tries to respond to specific contexts.
DAC defines Socially Responsive Design (SRVD)

‘design which takes as its primary driver social issues, its main consideration social impact and its main objective social change’

or simply,

‘design that responds to social issues in pursuit of social change’
SRVD is not just about selling products but also about improving quality of life:

- Fiscal capital
- Social capital
We are investigating the application of DAC methodology to other design territories / scenarios because DAC addresses multiple / competing design, prioritising dominant themes according to context.
7. Conclusion

• We believe that secure design doesn’t have to look criminal - that a thing of beauty is a joy forever and that designers can design against crime to promote social capital.

• Design Against Crime, as socially responsive design, responds to social issues in pursuit of social change.

• It is design that seeks to accommodate multiple stakeholders and mediate between competing user requirements.

• It is design that discriminates in response to context, that puts users first and militates against abuse.
Our practice-led research process has two strands.
Each has seven stages.
DAC’s design and research process is:

- Socially responsive
- Multi-disciplinary and consultative
- Iterative and User / Abuser focused
- Practice-led
Multi-disciplinary
We bring together researchers, designers, architects, planners, criminologists, engineers, manufacturers, the police and other stakeholders to assess design tools and design proposals to ensure they are effective and appropriate.
Thank you

www.designagainstcrime.com
www.inthebag.org.uk